EMSAFinancial Review

December, 2016



EMSA Eastern Division Highlights

- THE RESERVE TO THE PARTY OF THE
- Y-T-D loss of \$1,715K compared to budgeted loss of \$494K
- Y-T-D collection rate of 50% vs budget of 50%
- Emergency transports are more than budget 773 transports or 2.5%
- Non-emergency transports were 508 more than budget or 11.5%

EMSA Western Division Highlights



- Y-T-D net loss of \$2,180K compared to budgeted net loss of \$2,356K
- Y-T-D collection rate of 53% compared to budget of 51%
- Emergency transports were less than budget by 2.3% or 853 transports
- Non-emergency transports were more than budget by 100% or 2,442 transports

EMSA Eastern Division Cash Receipts/Disbursements



	Actual	Budget	Difference
Beg. cash	\$3,200	\$3,200	
Receipts	14,300	15,300	(1,000)
Oper. exp. /chg in WC	(15,000)	(14,400)	(600)
Cap. exp.	(200)	(1,000)	800
Debt Reduction	(200)	(200)	0
Cash from	2,100	2,900	(800)
Operations Capital Contribution	<u>400</u>	400	0
Ending cash	<u>\$2,500</u>	\$3,300	<u>(800)</u>

EMSA Western Division Cash Receipts/Disbursements

	Actual	Budget	Difference
Beg. cash	\$6,600	6,600	
Receipts-revenue	15,500	14,700	800
Oper. Exp./chgs in WC	(16,800)	(16,300)	(500)
Cap. Exp.	(400)	(1,100)	700
Debt reduction	(200)	<u>(200)</u>	0
Cash from Operations	<u>4,700</u>	<u>3,700</u>	<u>1,000</u>
Capital Contribution	3,200	3,200	0
Ending Cash	<u>\$7,900</u>	<u>6,900</u>	<u>1,000</u>

There is no net interdivisional payable/receivable