EMSAFinancial Review

March, 2017



EMSA Eastern Division Highlights



- Y-T-D loss of \$2,004K compared to budgeted loss of \$1,272K
- Y-T-D collection rate of 52% vs budget of 50%
- Emergency transports are more than budget 1,673 transports or 3.6%
- Non-emergency transports were 1,058 more than budget or 16%

EMSA Western Division Highlights



- Y-T-D net loss of \$3,249K compared to budgeted net loss of \$4,228K
- Y-T-D collection rate of 54% compared to budget of 51%
- Emergency transports were less than budget by 1.4% or 741 transports
- Non-emergency transports were more than budget by 82% or 3,3383 transports

EMSA Eastern Division Cash Receipts/Disbursements



	Actual	Budget	Difference
Beg. cash	\$3,200	\$3,200	
Receipts	22,300	22,700	(400)
Oper. exp. /chg in WC	(22,500)	(21,500)	(1,000)
Cap. exp.	(500)	(1,500)	1,000
Debt Reduction	(200)	(200)	0
Cash from	2,300	2,700	(400)
Operations Capital Contribution	<u>600</u>	600	0
Ending cash	<u>\$2,900</u>	<u>\$3,300</u>	<u>(400)</u>

EMSA Western Division Cash Receipts/Disbursements

	Actual	Budget	Difference
Beg. cash	\$6,600	6,600	
Receipts-revenue	23,200	21,900	1,300
Oper. Exp./chgs in WC	(25,400)	(24,600)	(800)
Cap. Exp.	(1,700)	(1,600)	(100)
Debt reduction	(200)	<u>(200)</u>	0
Cash from Operations	2,500	<u>2,100</u>	400
Capital Contribution	<u>5,300</u>	5,300	0
Ending Cash	<u>\$7,800</u>	<u> 7,400</u>	<u>400</u>

There is no net interdivisional payable/receivable