### **Compliance Summary**

From March 01, 2014 to March 31, 2014

# **Eastern Division Overall Compliance**

	P	riority 1		Р	riority 2		P	riority 3		P	riority 4	
	Inc.	Late	%	Inc.	Late	%	Inc.	Late	%	Inc.	Late	%
Tulsa 1	485	28	94%	972	5	99%	372	17	95%	6	2	66%
Tulsa 2	423	20	95%	793	6	99%	10	0	100%	0	0	N/A
Tulsa 3	570	32	94%	1,155	18	98%	344	20	94%	2	0	100%
Tulsa Total	1,478	80	94%	2,920	29	99%	726	37	94%	8	2	75%
Sand Springs	57	15		126	1	91%	1	0	100%	0	0	N/A
Jenks	25	5		41	1	90%	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Bixby	49	7		63	6	88%	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Total Non-Beneficiary	131	27		230	8	90%	1	0	100%	0	0	N/A

Average Response Time Priority 1 & 2

Received to On Scene: 9:08

Dispatched to On Scene: 8:34

The beneficiary city of Tulsa must be above 90% each month. In the suburbs of Sand Springs, Jenks and Bixby, the total of Priority 1 and Priority 2 incidents are combined to get the compliance percentile each month. Each suburban city must be above 75% each month, and combined they must be over 90 %. Percentage figures above are rounded down as per the RFP.

### **Compliance Summary**

From March 01, 2014 to March 31, 2014

### Western Division Overall Compliance

	Pr	iority 1		P	riority 2		P	riority 3		P	riority 4	
	Inc.	Late	%	Inc.	Late	%	Inc.	Late	%	Inc.	Late	%
Oklahoma City 1	854	65	92%	1,565	11	99%	182	10	94%	5	1	80%
Oklahoma City 2	823	67	91%	1,438	19	98%	166	22	86%	0	0	N/A
Edmond	141	11	92%	206	1	99%	41	5	87%	0	0	N/A
Total OKC & Edmond	1,818	143	92%	3,209	31	99%	389	37	90%	5	1	80%
Warr Acres	31	4		49	0	95%	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Bethany	67	11		108	0	93%	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Mustang	24	3		43	0	95%	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
The Village	18	3		36	1	92%	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Nichols Hills	3	0		10	1	92%	0	0	N/A	0	0	N/A
Yukon	53	3		68	1	96%	46	8	82%	0	0	N/A
Total Non-Beneficiary	196	24		314	3	94%	46	8	82%	0	0	N/A
Piedmont	4			3			0			0		

Average Response Time Priority 1 & 2

Received to On Scene: 9:35

Dispatched to On Scene: 9:03

The beneficiary cities of Oklahoma City and Edmond must be above 90% each month. In the suburbs of Warr Acres, Bethany, Mustang, The Village, Nichols Hills, and Yukon, the total of Priority 1 and Priority 2 incidents are combined to get the compliance percentile each month. Each suburban city must be above 75% each month, and combined they must be over 90 %. Percentage figures above are rounded down as per the RFP.

#### Compliance Summary March 1, 2013 to March 31, 2013

## **Eastern Division Non-discrimination**

	Priority 1								
	Inc.	Late	%						
District 1	485	28	94%						
District 2	423	20	95%						
District 3	570	32	94%						

Each district within the Beneficiary City of Tulsa must be individually above 75% on Priority 1 transports (with a minimum of 100 incidents in each for measurement). Percentage figures above are rounded down as per the RFP.

## Western Division Non-discrimination

	Priority 1									
	Inc.	Late	%							
District 1	854	65	92%							
District 2	823	67	91%							
Edmond	141	11	92%							

Each district of the Western Division must be individually above 75% on Priority 1 transports (with a minimum of 100 incidents in each for measurement). Percentage figures above are rounded down as per the RFP.

## Response Time Exclusion Summary Report Three Months ending March, 2014

Month		2014	1-01			2014	4-02			2014	4-03	
Priority	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Eastern Division												
Final Other												
Final Other Declared Disaster												
Final Other Interfacility Transfer	2											
Final System Overload	35	20	3	0	48	25	19	0	31	16	29	0
Final Weather	10	5	3	0	26	9	4	0	49	24	3	0
Eastern Exclusions Total	47	25	6	0	74	34	23	0	80	40	32	0
	=					-	-		•		-	
East Transports*	1519	3516	785	7	1469	2995	700	9	1609	3150	727	8
East Late	111	25	50	0	126	50	67	1	107	37	37	2
	•	•									•	
East % of Transports	3%	1%	1%	0%	5%	1%	3%	0%	5%	1%	4%	0%
	•	•	•			•	•				•	
		99%	93%	100%	91%	98%	90%	88%	93%	98%	94%	75%
East Compliance**	92%	22/0	30,0									
East Compliance** East Compliance W/O Exclusions**	92% 89%	98%		100%	87%	97%	87%	88%	88%	97%	90%	75%
•					87%	97%	87%	88%	88%	97%	90%	75%
•					87%	97%	87%	88%	88%	97%	90%	75%
•			92%		87%	97% <b>201</b> 4		88%	88%	97% <b>201</b> 4		75%
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**	89%	98%	92%		87%			88%	1			75% 4
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month	89%	98% <b>201</b> 4	92% I-01	100%		2014	4-02			2014	1-03	
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority	89%	98% <b>201</b> 4	92% I-01	100%		2014	4-02			2014	1-03	
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority Western Division	89%	98% <b>201</b> 4	92% I-01	100%	1	2014	4-02		1	2014	1-03	
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority Western Division  Final Other	89%	98% <b>201</b> 4	92% I-01	100%	1	2014	4-02		1	2014	1-03	
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority  Western Division  Final Other Final Other Declared Disaster	89%	98% <b>201</b> 4	92% I-01	100%	1	2014	4-02		1	2014	1-03	
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority  Western Division  Final Other Final Other Declared Disaster Final Other Interfacility Transfer	1	2014	92% I-01 3	4	<b>1</b>	2014	4-02 3	4	1 1 81	2014	1-03 3	4
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority  Western Division  Final Other Final Other Declared Disaster Final Other Interfacility Transfer  Final System Overload	1 50 23	98% 2014 2 21	92% 1-01 3	4	1 1 70 52	2014	4-02 3 13	4	1 1 81 62	2014 2 31 30	<b>1-03</b> 3	4
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority  Western Division  Final Other Final Other Declared Disaster Final Other Interfacility Transfer  Final System Overload Final Weather	1 50 23	98% 2014 2 2 21 5	92% I-01 3 6 7	4	1 1 70 52	2014 2 27 23	13 12	<b>4</b> 0 0	1 1 81 62	2014 2 31 30	<b>1-03 3 9</b> 6	0 0
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority  Western Division  Final Other Final Other Declared Disaster Final Other Interfacility Transfer  Final System Overload Final Weather	1 50 23	2014 2 2 21 5 26	92% I-01 3 6 7	100% 4 0 0	1 1 70 52 123	2014 2 27 23	13 12	<b>4</b> 0 0	1 1 81 62	2014 2 31 30 61	<b>1-03 3 9</b> 6	<b>4 0 0 0</b>
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority  Western Division  Final Other Final Other Declared Disaster Final Other Interfacility Transfer  Final System Overload Final Weather  Western Exclusions Total	50 23 73	2014 2 2 21 5 26	92% I-01 3 6 7 13	100% 4 0 0	1 1 70 52 123	2014 2 27 23 50	13 12 25	<b>4 0 0 0 0</b>	1 1 81 62 144	2014 2 31 30 61	9 6 15	0 0 0
Month Priority  Western Division  Final Other Final Other Declared Disaster Final Other Interfacility Transfer  Final System Overload Final Weather  Western Exclusions Total  West Transports*	50 23 73	2014 2 2 21 5 26	92%  1-01  3  6  7  13	100% 4 0 0 0 17	1 70 52 123	2014 2 27 23 50	13 12 25	<b>4 0 0 0 0 3</b>	1 1 81 62 144	2014 2 31 30 61	9 6 15	<b>4 0 0 0 0 5</b>
Month Priority  Western Division  Final Other Final Other Declared Disaster Final Other Interfacility Transfer  Final System Overload Final Weather  Western Exclusions Total  West Transports*	\$9%  1 50 23 73  1836 185	2014 2 2 21 5 26	92%  1-01  3  6  7  13	100% 4 0 0 0 17	1 70 52 123	2014 2 27 23 50	13 12 25	<b>4 0 0 0 0 3</b>	1 1 81 62 144 2014 167	2014 2 31 30 61 3523 34	9 6 15	<b>4</b> 0 0 0 0 5
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority  Western Division  Final Other Final Other Declared Disaster Final Other Interfacility Transfer  Final System Overload Final Weather  Western Exclusions Total  West Transports* West Late	\$9%  1 50 23 73  1836 185	2014 2 2 21 5 26 4009 48	92%  1-01 3 6 7 13 539 72	100% 4 0 0 17 1	1 70 52 123 1875 204	2014 2 27 23 50 3464 58	13 12 25 462 81	0 0 0 0	1 1 81 62 144 2014 167	2014 2 31 30 61 3523 34	9 6 15 435 45	0 0 0 5 1
East Compliance W/O Exclusions**  Month Priority  Western Division  Final Other Final Other Declared Disaster Final Other Interfacility Transfer  Final System Overload Final Weather  Western Exclusions Total  West Transports* West Late	\$9%  1  50  23  73  1836  185	2014 2 2 21 5 26 4009 48	92%  1-01 3 6 7 13 539 72	100% 4 0 0 17 1	1 70 52 123 1875 204	2014 2 27 23 50 3464 58	13 12 25 462 81	0 0 0 0	1 1 81 62 144 2014 167	2014 2 31 30 61 3523 34	9 6 15 435 45	0 0 0 5 1

<sup>\*</sup> For the purposes of this report, transports means the number of transports that qualify for inclusion for compliance calculation purposes. Multi-unit response transports for greater than the first unit on \*\* For the purposes of this report, beneficiary and non-beneficiary cities have been combined. Contract compliance measures them separately.



